Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/505,282	IKENISHI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	LOUIS FALASCO	1794
I Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>LOUIS FALASCO</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Arthur R. Crawford</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>16 June 2009</u>	Time: <u>4:35pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 19		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: it was agreed to amend the claims to require a molar percentage and correct antecedent bases for claim terms, cancel claims dependant on cancelled claims and have dependant claims further limit the claims which they depend.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/L. F./ Evaminer Art Unit 1794		
Examiner, Art Unit 1794 (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)